

Strong Local Nondeterminism and Exact Modulus of Continuity for Spherical Gaussian Fields

Xiaohong Lan

School of Mathematical Sciences

University of Science and Technology of China

Domenico Marinucci *

Department of Mathematics

University of Rome Tor Vergata

Yimin Xiao

Department of Statistics and Probability

Michigan State University

March 14, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we are concerned with sample path properties of isotropic spherical Gaussian fields on \mathbb{S}^2 . In particular, we establish the property of strong local nondeterminism of an isotropic spherical Gaussian field based on the high-frequency behaviour of its angular power spectrum; we then exploit this result to establish an exact uniform modulus of continuity for its sample paths. We also discuss the range of values of the spectral index for which the sample functions exhibit fractal or smooth behaviour.

KEY WORDS: Spherical Gaussian fields, strong local nondeterminism, uniform modulus of continuity, spherical wavelets.

2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 60G60, 60G17, 60G15, 42C40.

1 Introduction and Overview

1.1 Motivations

The analysis of sample path properties of random fields has been considered by many authors, see, for instance, [4, 7, 14, 15, 21, 22, 25, 26, 30, 31] and their

*Corresponding author

combined references. These papers have covered a wide variety of circumstances, including scalar and vector valued random fields, isotropic and anisotropic behaviour, analytic and geometric properties. The parameter space of the random fields in these references, however, has been typically considered to be Euclidean, i.e., \mathbb{R}^k , for $k \geq 1$.

From the point of view of applications, however, there is of course a lot of interest in investigating sample path properties of random fields defined on manifolds. In particular, we shall focus here on isotropic random fields defined on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 ; these fields have considerable mathematical interest by themselves, and arise very naturally in a number of scientific areas, i.e., geophysics, astrophysics and cosmology, atmospheric sciences, image analysis, to name only a few, see [17] for a systematic account. To the best of our knowledge, very little is currently known on the sample path properties of these fields, even under Gaussianity and Isotropy assumptions; the only currently available references seem to be [11, 13], which investigate differentiability and Hölder continuity properties of the sample functions in terms of the so-called spectral index, to be defined below.

Our aim in this paper is to pursue this line of investigation further and to provide two main results. The first of these results is to establish a property of strong local nondeterminism for a large class of isotropic spherical Gaussian fields. In the Euclidean setting, the notion of strong local nondeterminism has played a pivotal role to establish a number of characterizations for sample trajectories, see again [22, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32] for more discussions and review of recent papers; we thus believe that our result will open a way for similar developments in the area of spherical Gaussian fields. In particular, by exploiting this property, we are able to establish our second main result, i.e. the exact uniform modulus of continuity for isotropic spherical Gaussian fields. The exact form of the scaling depends in a very explicit way on the behaviour of the angular power spectrum (to be recalled below) of the field, and we can hence identify the class of models that lead to fractal properties. In order to state more precisely these results, we need to introduce however some more notation and background material, which we do in the following subsection.

1.2 Background and notation

We start by recalling some background from [17] on second order spherical random fields, by which we mean as usual measurable applications $T : \Omega \times \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\{\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P}\}$ is some probability space, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$,

$$\mathbb{E}(T^2(x, \omega)) = \int_{\Omega} T^2(x, \omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) < \infty.$$

Without loss of generality, in the sequel we shall always assume the field to have zero-mean, $\mathbb{E}(T(x, \omega)) = 0$. Also, as usual, by (strong) isotropy we mean that the random fields $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ and $T^g = \{T(gx), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ have the same law, for all rotations $g \in SO(3)$. T is called 2-weakly isotropic if $\mathbb{E}(T(x)T(y)) = \mathbb{E}(T(gx)T(gy))$ for all $g \in SO(3)$.

Given a 2-weakly isotropic random field $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$, the following spectral representation is well known to hold (cf. [17, Theorem 5.13]):

$$T(x; \omega) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} a_{\ell m}(\omega) Y_{\ell m}(x), \quad (1)$$

where $\{Y_{\ell m}, \ell \geq 0; m = 0, \pm 1, \dots, \pm \ell\}$ are the spherical harmonic functions on \mathbb{S}^2 and $a_{\ell m} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} T(x) \overline{Y_{\ell m}(x)} dx$. The equality in (1) holds both in $L^2(\Omega)$ at every fixed x , and in $L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{S}^2)$, i.e.

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[T(x) - \sum_{\ell}^L \sum_m a_{\ell m}(\omega) Y_{\ell m}(x) \right]^2 = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(T(x; \omega) - \sum_{\ell}^L \sum_m a_{\ell m}(\omega) Y_{\ell m}(x) \right)^2 dx \right] = 0.$$

We recall that the finite-variance condition $\mathbb{E}(T^2(x)) < \infty$ under isotropy automatically entails the mean-square continuity; the spectral representation hence follows without further assumptions, see [17, 18].

If $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ is a Gaussian random field, then its strong isotropy and 2-weak isotropy are equivalent. The distribution of an isotropic zero-mean Gaussian field $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ is fully characterized by the covariance function $\mathbb{E}(T(x)T(y))$. By a theorem of Schoenberg [24], the latter can be expanded as follows:

$$\mathbb{E}(T(x)T(y)) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} C_{\ell} P_{\ell}(\langle x, y \rangle); \quad (2)$$

here, $P_0 \equiv 1$ and $P_{\ell} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$, denote the Legendre polynomials, which satisfy the normalization condition $P_{\ell}(1) = 1$ and can be recovered by Rodrigues' formula as

$$P_{\ell}(t) = \frac{1}{2^{\ell} \ell!} \frac{d^{\ell}}{dt^{\ell}} (t^2 - 1)^{\ell}, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots$$

On the other hand, the sequence $\{C_{\ell}, \ell = 0, 1, \dots\}$ of nonnegative weights represents the so-called angular power spectrum of the field, and the ℓ 's are referred to as frequencies (also labelled multipoles). In terms of the spectral representation, we have the identification

$$\mathbb{E}(a_{\ell m} \overline{a_{\ell' m'}}) = C_{\ell} \delta_{\ell}^{\ell'} \delta_m^{m'}, \quad (3)$$

so that the angular power spectrum provides the variance of the (uncorrelated) Gaussian random coefficients $\{a_{\ell m}, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots; m = -\ell, \dots, \ell\}$. By standard Fourier arguments, the small scale behaviour of the covariance is determined by the behavior of the angular power spectrum at high frequencies; namely, the behavior of C_{ℓ} for as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$.

It is known that for $\ell = 0$, $Y_{00}(x)$ in (1) is a constant function on \mathbb{S}^2 , which does not affect the sample path regularity of $T(x)$. Hence, for simplicity of notation, we will remove the term for $\ell = m = 0$ from (1) and (2) (i.e., we consider $T(x) - a_{00}Y_{00}(x)$) throughout the rest of this paper. Furthermore, we shall impose the following condition on the behavior of the angular power spectrum, which we consider in every respect as minimal.

Condition (A): The random field $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ is zero-mean, Gaussian and isotropic, with angular power spectrum such that:

$$C_\ell = G(\ell) \ell^{-\alpha} > 0, \quad \forall \ell = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha > 2$ is a constant and, moreover, there exists a finite constant $c_0 \geq 1$, such that

$$c_0^{-1} \leq G(\ell) \leq c_0.$$

The assumption $\alpha > 2$ is necessary to ensure that the field has finite variance (recall the identity $\mathbb{E}(T^2(x)) = \sum_\ell \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} C_\ell$). On the other hand, we stress that we are imposing no regularity condition on the function $G(\ell)$, on the contrary of much of the literature on spherical random fields, which typically requires $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} G(\ell) = \text{const.}$ or other forms of additional regularity conditions (see i.e., [3, 12, 19, 20]). We believe that Condition (A) covers the vast majority of models which seems of interest from a theoretical or applied point of view; for instance, it fits very well with the theoretical and observational evidence on Cosmic Microwave Background radiation data (see [5, 6, 23]), which has been one of the main motivating areas for the analysis of spherical fields over the last decade. Most of our results to follow will depend in a simple analytic way from the value of the parameter α , which we refer to as the spectral index of T .

1.3 Statement of the Main Results

To introduce our first main result (on strong local nondeterminism), we need first to introduce some more notation. In particular, for $\alpha > 2$, let $\rho_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be the continuous function defined by

$$\rho_\alpha(t) = \begin{cases} t^{(\alpha-2)/2}, & \text{if } 2 < \alpha < 4, \\ t\sqrt{|\log t|}, & \text{if } \alpha = 4, \\ t, & \text{if } \alpha > 4 \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and $\rho_\alpha(0) = 0$ for all values of α . In the above and in the sequel, $\log x = \ln(x \vee e)$ for all $x > 0$. As we shall show later, up to a constant factor the functions ρ_α can be related to the canonical (Dudley) metric for the Gaussian processes to be investigated; it is important to note the explicit dependence on the spectral index α . As usual, we take

$$d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) = \arccos(\langle x, y \rangle)$$

as the standard spherical (or geodesic) distance on \mathbb{S}^2 . The following result establishes the property of strong local nondeterminism for spherical Gaussian fields satisfying Condition (A) with $2 < \alpha < 4$.

Theorem 1 *Let $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ be an isotropic Gaussian field that satisfies Condition (A) with $2 < \alpha < 4$. There exist positive and finite constants c_2 and ε_0 such that for all integers $n \geq 1$ and all $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{S}^2$ with $\min_{1 \leq k \leq n} d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x_0, x_k) \leq \varepsilon_0$ we have*

$$\text{Var}(T(x_0) | T(x_1), \dots, T(x_n)) \geq c_2 \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} \rho_\alpha(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x_0, x_k))^2. \quad (6)$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is presented in Section 3. The argument does not seem to work for the critical case of $\alpha = 4$, we expect that (6) still holds, but a new method may be needed.

In the following we simply note how the strong local nondeterminism property can be exploited to develop a number of nontrivial characterizations for the sample path behaviour of spherical random fields. Among these characterizations, in this paper we shall focus on the uniform modulus of continuity, for which we shall establish the following result, which significantly improves the Hölder continuity established by Lang and Schwab [13, Theorem 4.5].

Theorem 2 *Let $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ be an isotropic Gaussian field that satisfies Condition (A).*

- (i). *If $2 < \alpha < 4$, then there exists a positive and finite constant K_1 such that, with probability one*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) < \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{\rho_\alpha(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)) \sqrt{|\log \rho_\alpha(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y))|}} = K_1. \quad (7)$$

- (ii). *If $\alpha = 4$, then there exists a positive and finite constant K_2 such that, with probability one*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) < \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) |\log d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)|} \leq K_2. \quad (8)$$

The proof of Theorem 2 will be given in Section 4. In the following, we provide some remarks.

- In terms of the geodesic distance, the results (7) and (8) can be clearly written as

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) < \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)^{(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{|\log d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)|}} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha-2}{2}} K_1, \text{ for } 2 < \alpha < 4.$$

- It is important to note the fractal behaviour that occurs for $2 < \alpha < 4$, when the modulus of continuity decays slower than linearly with respect to the angular distance (hence the sample function $T(x)$ is nondifferentiable). We note that this range of values of α is typical for many applied fields, for instance for Cosmic Microwave Background data α is known to be very close to 2, from theoretical arguments and from experimental data (see e.g., [23]).
- For the case of $\alpha = 4$, (8) implies that the sample function $T(x)$ is almost Lipschitz. We believe the equality in (8) actually holds and the sample function presents subtle fractal properties. However, we have not been able to prove these results, due to the unsolved case in Theorem 1.

Next we consider the case of $\alpha > 4$. Let $k \geq 1$ be the unique integer such that $2 + 2k < \alpha < 4 + 2k$. It follows from Lang and Schwab [13, Theorem 4.6] that $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ has a modification, still denoted by T , such that its sample function is almost surely k -times continuously differentiable. Moreover, the k -th (partial) derivatives of $T(x)$ are Hölder continuous on \mathbb{S}^2 with exponent $\gamma < \frac{\alpha-2}{2} - k$.

In the following, we adapt the approach of Lang and Schwab [13] (see also [11]) to study the regularity properties of higher-order derivatives of T based on pseudo-differential operators, as described in the classical monograph [27]. In particular, for real $k \in \mathbb{R}$ introduce $(1 - \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{k/2}$ as the pseudo-differential operator whose action on functions $T(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is defined by

$$(1 - \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{k/2} T := \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m} (1 + \ell(\ell + 1))^{k/2} Y_{\ell m}, \quad (9)$$

provided the right-hand side converges in $L^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{S}^2)$. In the above, $\{a_{\ell m}\}$ is the same sequence of random variables as in (1), and $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is the spherical Laplacian, also called Laplace-Beltrami operator which, in spherical coordinates (ϑ, φ) , is defined by $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$,

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} = \frac{1}{\sin \vartheta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \left\{ \sin \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \right\} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}. \quad (10)$$

Recall that for every $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$, it can be written as $x = (\sin \vartheta \cos \varphi, \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi, \cos \vartheta)$. In this paper, with slight abuse of notation, we always identify the Cartesian and angular coordinates of the point $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$.

It is shown in [27, Chapter XI] that the Sobolev space $\mathcal{W}^{k,2}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ of functions with square-integrable k -th derivatives can be viewed as the image of $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ under the operator $(1 - \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{-k/2}$; this and related property are exploited by Lang and Schwab [13] to prove their Theorem 4.6 on regularity of higher-order derivatives. More precisely, consider the Gaussian random field $T^{(k)} = \{T^{(k)}(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ defined by

$$T^{(k)} := (1 - \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{k/2} T.$$

Lang and Schwab [13] study the almost-sure Hölder continuity of $T^{(k)}$. We are able to improve their results by considering the exact modulus of continuity, for which we provide the following result.

Theorem 3 *If in Condition (A), $2 + 2k < \alpha \leq 4 + 2k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, then $T^{(k)} = \{T^{(k)}(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ satisfies the following uniform modulus of continuity:*

(i). *If $2 + 2k < \alpha < 4 + 2k$, then there exists a positive and finite constant K_3 such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|T^{(k)}(x) - T^{(k)}(y)|}{\rho_{\alpha-2k}(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)) \sqrt{|\log \rho_{\alpha-2k}(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y))|}} = K_3, \quad a.s.$$

(ii). *If $\alpha = 4 + 2k$, then there exists a positive and finite constant K_4 such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) < \varepsilon}} \frac{|T^{(k)}(x) - T^{(k)}(y)|}{d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) |\log d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)|} \leq K_4, \quad a.s.$$

1.4 Plan of the Paper

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some auxiliary tools that will be instrumental for our proofs to follow; in particular, a careful analysis of the variogram/covariance function on very small scales, and the construction of the so-called spherical bump function, i.e. a compactly supported function on the sphere satisfying some required smoothness conditions. The latter construction builds upon ideas discussed by Geller and Mayeli [8, 9] in the framework of spherical wavelets. In Section 3, we exploit these results to establish the property of strong local nondeterminism for a large class of isotropic spherical Gaussian fields. In Section 4, by applying Gaussian techniques and strong local nondeterminism we prove Theorem 2 on the exact uniform modulus of continuity; while an extension to higher-order derivatives is discussed in Section 5. Some auxiliary results are collected in the Appendix.

2 Technical Tools

2.1 The Variogram

It is well-known that, for the investigation of sample properties of Gaussian field $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$, it is important to introduce the canonical metric

$$d_T(x, y) = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(|T(x) - T(y)|^2)},$$

see for instance [1, 16] or any other monograph on the modern theory of Gaussian processes. The square of the canonical metric is also known as the variogram of

T . Our first technical result is a careful investigation on the behaviour of this metric for pairs of points that are very close in the spherical distance $d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(\cdot, \cdot)$; more precisely, we have the following upper and lower bounds, in terms of the function ρ_α which was introduced in (5).

Lemma 4 *Under Condition (A), there exist constants $1 \leq c_1 < \infty$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, such that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2$ with $d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$, we have*

$$c_1^{-1} \rho_\alpha^2(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)) \leq d_T^2(x, y) \leq c_1 \rho_\alpha^2(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)), \quad (11)$$

where $\rho_\alpha(\cdot) : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined in (5).

Proof. From (2), it is readily seen that

$$d_T^2(x, y) = \mathbb{E}(|T(x) - T(y)|^2) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} C_\ell \frac{2\ell+1}{2\pi} (1 - P_\ell(\cos \theta)), \quad (12)$$

where we write for notational convenience $\theta = \theta_{xy} = d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)$. Let

$$Q_\alpha(\theta) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-\alpha} \left(\ell + \frac{1}{2} \right) (1 - P_\ell(\cos \theta)).$$

Schoenberg's theorem in [24] implies that, for every $\ell \geq 1$, $P_\ell(\langle x, y \rangle)$ is a covariance function on \mathbb{S}^2 . The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives $|P_\ell(\cos \theta)| \leq P_\ell(1) = 1$. Hence, it follows from Condition (A) that

$$\frac{c_0^{-1}}{\pi} Q_\alpha(\theta) \leq d_T^2(x, y) \leq \frac{c_0}{\pi} Q_\alpha(\theta). \quad (13)$$

The statement is then derived by exploiting Lemma 10 in the Appendix, which provides a full characterization on the small scale behaviour of $Q_\alpha(\theta)$ as $\theta \rightarrow 0$. \blacksquare

Remark 5 *Anticipating some results to follow, it is important to stress the phase transition that occurs in the behaviour of the canonical metric as a function of α . For $\alpha > 4$, the canonical metric is proportional to the standard geodesic distance; for $2 < \alpha < 4$, on the contrary, the ratio between geodesic and canonical distance diverges on small scales and fractal behaviour occurs. The case of $\alpha = 4$ is, in some sense, critical and an extra logarithmic factor appears in the bounds for the variogram in Lemma 4.*

2.2 The Construction of the Spherical Bump Function

In this section, we work with spherical coordinates (ϑ, φ) , $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$, and we review the construction of a family of zonal functions $\delta_\varepsilon : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, which shall vanish outside a spherical cap around the North Pole $\vartheta = \varphi = 0$ (we recall that a zonal function satisfies by definition the identity

$\delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi) = \delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi')$ for all $\varphi, \varphi' \in [0, 2\pi)$). The construction follows a proposal by Geller and Mayeli ([8], Lemma 4.1, pages 16-17), see also [9]; we introduce some minimal modifications, to ensure a suitable rate of decay in the spherical harmonic coefficients. More precisely, we shall show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a zonal function

$$\delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi) := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell(\varepsilon) \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \quad (14)$$

such that for some positive and finite constants c_2 and c_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi) &\leq c_2 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi; \\ \delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi) &= 0 \quad \text{for all } \vartheta > \varepsilon \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\delta_\varepsilon(0, 0) \sim c_3 \varepsilon^{-2} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \quad (16)$$

Moreover the coefficients $\{b_\ell(\varepsilon), \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon)\}$ can be taken such that they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} |b_\ell(\varepsilon)| &\leq c_4, \quad \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{for } m \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ |\kappa_{\ell 0}(\varepsilon)| &\leq c_5 \sqrt{2\ell+1} \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for all integers $\ell \geq 1$, where c_4 and c_5 are positive and finite constants.

It is natural to label $\delta_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot)$ a *spherical bump function*, in analogy with the analogous constructions on the Euclidean domains. On the other hand, up to a different normalization factor the function $\delta_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot)$ is just a special case of the so-called Mexican needlet frame by [8], in the special case where the latter has bounded support in the real domain. We hence follow as much as possible the notation by these authors.

In particular, we choose a function $\widehat{G}(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i). $\text{supp} \widehat{G}(\cdot) \subseteq (-1, 1)$,
- (ii). It is piecewise continuously differentiable up to order M , where M is large enough, and
- (iii). Its inverse Fourier transform G satisfies $0 < \int_0^\infty G(u) u du < \infty$.

For example, we can take $\widehat{G}(\cdot) = p \star p(\cdot)$, where $p(s) = \max\{0, 1 - 2|s|\}$. Then $\widehat{G}(\cdot)$ is piecewise smooth and its inverse Fourier transform is $G(u) = (\frac{2}{\pi})^2 (1 - \cos(u/2))^2 u^{-4}$. Functions $G(u)$ with faster decay rate of as $u \rightarrow \infty$ can be constructed by convoluting more times.

As in Geller and Mayeli [8], we consider the operator $G(\varepsilon \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}}) : L^2(\mathbb{S}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ defined by

$$G(\varepsilon \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}}) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{G}(s) \exp(-is\varepsilon \sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}}) ds;$$

recall that $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ is the spherical Laplacian in (10). The action of this operator is described as usual by means of the corresponding kernel; i.e., for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ we have

$$G(\varepsilon\sqrt{-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}})f(\cdot) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} K_\varepsilon(x, \cdot) f(x) dx,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_\varepsilon(x, y) &:= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} G(\varepsilon\sqrt{-\lambda_\ell}) \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\langle x, y \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{G}(s) \exp(-is\varepsilon\sqrt{-\lambda_\ell}) ds \right\} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\langle x, y \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In the above, $\{\lambda_\ell, \ell = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are the eigenvalues of $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2}$, i.e., $\lambda_\ell = -\ell(\ell+1)$,

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} Y_{\ell m} = \lambda_\ell Y_{\ell m}$$

for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$ and $m = -\ell, \dots, \ell$; see i.e. [17], Chapter 3.

Under this assumptions, we take $x = N = (0, 0)$ (the “North Pole”), $y = (\vartheta, \varphi)$ an arbitrary point on the sphere, and define

$$\delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi) := K_\varepsilon(N, y).$$

Then the first inequality in (15) follows from an application of Lemma 4.1 in [8] to the case of $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{S}^2$ (hence $n = 2$, $d(x, y) = d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(N, y) = \vartheta$), $t = \varepsilon$ and $j, k, N = 0$. The second statement in (15), namely, $\text{supp} \delta_\varepsilon \subseteq \{(\vartheta, \varphi) : \vartheta \leq \varepsilon\}$ follows from Huygens’ principle as in the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [8, page 911].

To verify (16), we use the definition of K in (18) to verify that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\varepsilon(0, 0) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} G(\varepsilon\sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)}) \frac{2\ell+1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \\ &\sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty G(\varepsilon u) u du = c_3 \varepsilon^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

with $c_3 = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^\infty G(u) u du$ which is positive and finite.

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} b_\ell(\varepsilon) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{G}(s) \exp(-is\varepsilon\sqrt{\lambda_\ell}) ds, \\ \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) &= \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} b_\ell(\varepsilon), & \text{if } m = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then $|b_\ell(\varepsilon)| \leq c$ for some constant c , and $\{\kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon)\}$ satisfies the properties in (17). Moreover, by appealing to the standard identities

$$\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\langle x, y \rangle) = \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \overline{Y}_{\ell m}(x) Y_{\ell m}(y),$$

$$Y_{\ell m}(0, 0) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}}, & \text{for } m = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we see that $\delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi)$ can be written as

$$\delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell(\varepsilon) \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta, \varphi),$$

which gives the desired representation in (14).

We end this section with some further properties of the spherical bump function $\delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi)$ and its coefficient which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 3.

To get information on the decay rate of $|b_\ell(\varepsilon)|$ as ℓ increases, we use integration by parts r times ($r \leq M$) to get

$$b_\ell(\varepsilon) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{G}(s) \exp(-is\varepsilon\sqrt{\lambda_\ell}) ds = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{G}^{(r)}(s) \frac{\exp(-is\varepsilon\sqrt{\lambda_\ell})}{\{i\varepsilon\sqrt{\lambda_\ell}\}^r} ds.$$

Hence for any $r \leq M$,

$$|b_\ell(\varepsilon)| \leq \frac{K_r}{\varepsilon^r \ell^r}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$K_r := \sup_{-1 \leq s \leq 1} |\widehat{G}^{(r)}(s)| < \infty.$$

Note that, by (16), there exists a constant $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell(\varepsilon) \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} = \delta_\varepsilon(0, 0) \geq \frac{c_3}{2} \varepsilon^{-2} \quad (20)$$

for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$. Moreover, by (15), we see that for all $\vartheta > \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} b_\ell(\varepsilon) \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) &= \sum_{\ell m} \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi}} Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta, \varphi) \\ &= \delta_\varepsilon(\vartheta, \varphi) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

3 Strong Local Nondeterminism: Proof of Theorem 1

We are now in the position to prove Theorem 1. Recall that $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ is an isotropic Gaussian random field with mean zero and angular power spectrum $\{C_\ell\}$. We prove the following more general theorem which implies Theorem 1 when $2 < \alpha < 4$. For $\alpha \geq 4$, the lower bound given by (22) is strictly smaller than $\rho_\alpha^2(\varepsilon)$. Lemma 4 indicates that (22) can be improved if $n = 1$. However, it is not known if one can strengthen (22) for all $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 6 *Under Condition (A), there exist positive and finite constants ε_0 and c_2 such that for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, all integers $n \geq 1$ and all $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{S}^2$, satisfying $d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x_0, x_k) \geq \varepsilon$, we have*

$$\text{Var}(T(x_0) | T(x_1), \dots, T(x_n)) \geq c_2 \varepsilon^{\alpha-2}. \quad (22)$$

Proof. As before, we work in spherical coordinates (ϑ, φ) and we take without loss of generality $x_0 = (0, 0)$ to be the North Pole, and $x_k = (\vartheta_k, \varphi_k)$ so that $d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, x_k) = \vartheta_k$. To establish (22), it is sufficient to prove that there exists a positive constant c_2 such that for all choices of real numbers $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(T(0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j T(x_j) \right)^2 \right\} \geq c_2 \varepsilon^{\alpha-2}. \quad (23)$$

It follows from (1), (2) or (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(T(0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j T(x_j) \right)^2 \right\} &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left(\sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(x_j) \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\ell m} \mathbb{E}(|a_{\ell m}|^2) \left| Y_{\ell m}(0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j Y_{\ell m}(x_j) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\ell} \sum_m C_{\ell} \left| Y_{\ell m}(0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j Y_{\ell m}(x_j) \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (23) is a consequence of Proposition 7 below. ■

Proposition 7 *Assume Condition (A) holds. For all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$, there exists a constant $c_2 > 0$ such that for all choices of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, all $(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) : \vartheta_j > \varepsilon$, and $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have*

$$\sum_{\ell} \sum_m C_{\ell} \left[Y_{\ell m}(0, 0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) \right]^2 \geq c_2 \varepsilon^{\alpha-2}. \quad (24)$$

Proof. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\delta_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, \cdot)$ be defined as in (14), with the corresponding coefficients $\{b_{\ell m}(\varepsilon)\}$ and $\{\kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon)\}$ such that conditions (15), (16), (17), (19), (20) and (21) hold. Now we consider

$$I = \sum_{\ell} \sum_m \left(\frac{\kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon)}{\sqrt{C_{\ell}}} \right) \left\{ \sqrt{C_{\ell}} \left[Y_{\ell m}(0, 0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) \right] \right\}.$$

On one hand, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality

$$\begin{aligned} I^2 &\leq \left\{ \sum_{\ell m} \frac{\kappa_{\ell m}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\ell} \sum_m C_{\ell} \left[Y_{\ell m}(0, 0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) \right]^2 \right\} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \frac{b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\ell} C_{\ell} \sum_m \left[Y_{\ell m}(0, 0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) \right]^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\ell} C_{\ell} \sum_m \left[Y_{\ell m}(0, 0) - \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) \right]^2 \geq \frac{I^2}{\sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \frac{b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}}}. \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, we can compute I^2 directly. It follows from (20) and (21) that

$$\sum_{\ell} \sum_m \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) Y_{\ell m}(0, 0) = \sum_{\ell} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} b_{\ell}(\varepsilon) = \delta_{\varepsilon}(0, 0) \geq \frac{c_3}{2\varepsilon^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell} \sum_m \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) \right\} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \sum_{\ell} \sum_m \kappa_{\ell m}(\varepsilon) Y_{\ell m}(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \left\{ \sum_{\ell} \frac{2\ell+1}{4\pi} b_{\ell}(\varepsilon) P_{\ell}(\cos(N, x_j)) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j \delta_{\varepsilon}(\vartheta_j, \varphi_j) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $\vartheta_j > \varepsilon$ by assumption. The above two equations imply that $I \geq \frac{c_3}{2} \varepsilon^{-2}$ and hence (24) will follow from (25) if we can show that

$$\sum_{\ell} \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \frac{b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}} = O(\varepsilon^{-\alpha+2}). \quad (26)$$

Now we verify (26). It follows from (19) that for r large enough there exists a constant $c_r > 0$ such that

$$b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{c_r}{(\ell\varepsilon)^r}.$$

Hence, by choosing an integer $L = L(\varepsilon) = \lfloor \varepsilon \rfloor^{-1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \frac{b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}} &= \sum_{\ell=L}^{\infty} \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \frac{b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \frac{b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}} \\ &\leq \frac{c_r}{\varepsilon^{\alpha+2}} \sum_{\ell=L}^{\infty} (\ell\varepsilon) \frac{1}{(\ell\varepsilon)^r} (\varepsilon\ell)^{\alpha} \varepsilon + \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \frac{b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Now

$$\frac{c_r}{\varepsilon^{\alpha+2}} \sum_{\ell=L}^{\infty} (\ell\varepsilon) \frac{1}{(\ell\varepsilon)^r} (\varepsilon\ell)^{\alpha} \varepsilon \leq \frac{c'_r}{\varepsilon^{\alpha+2}} \int_1^{\infty} x^{\alpha-r+1} dx \leq \frac{c''_r}{\varepsilon^{\alpha+2}},$$

for $r > \alpha + 2$, whereas we can bound the second term from above by

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \frac{b_{\ell}^2(\varepsilon)}{C_{\ell}} \leq c \sum_{\ell=1}^L \frac{(2\ell+1)}{4\pi} \ell^{\alpha} \leq c L^{\alpha+2} \sim c \varepsilon^{-(\alpha+2)}.$$

Combining (27) with the above verifies (26), which finishes the proof of (24). ■

Remark 8 *At this stage we can draw an analogy between the isotropic spherical random fields satisfying Condition (A) with $2 < \alpha < 4$ and a fractional Brownian field with self-similarity parameter H . The analogy can be made clearer by setting the parameter values so that $2H + 2 = \alpha$, and Lemma 4 shows that the variogram of $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ is of the order $d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)^{2H} = d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)^{\alpha-2}$. This indicates that T shares many analytic and fractal properties with a fractional Brownian field with parameter H . Indeed, by applying Lemma 4 and Theorem 1, we can prove that, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, the Hausdorff dimension of the level set $T^{-1}(u)$ is given by*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} T^{-1}(u) = 2 - \frac{\alpha - 2}{2}, \quad \text{a.s.},$$

which shows that for $2 < \alpha < 4$ we have a fractal curve of Hausdorff dimension $\in (1, 2)$.

Notice that, $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} T^{-1}(u) = 1$ when $\alpha \geq 4$, but the nature of the level curve is different for $\alpha > 4$ and $\alpha = 4$, respectively. For $\alpha > 4$, the sample function $T(x)$ is differentiable. Thus its level curve $T^{-1}(u)$ is regular. While for $\alpha = 4$ we believe that the level curve is not differentiable and possesses subtle fractal properties. Investigation of the topological and geometric properties of $T^{-1}(u)$ and more general excursion sets in more details is left for future research.

4 Modulus of continuity: Proof of Theorem 2

We start by state 0-1 laws regarding the uniform and local moduli of continuity for an isotropic spherical Gaussian field $T = \{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$. It is a consequence of the representation (1) and Kolmogorov's 0-1 law. We first rewrite Lemma 7.1.1 in Marcus and Rosen [16] as follows.

Lemma 9 *Let $\{T(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ be a centered Gaussian random field on \mathbb{S}^2 . Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a function with $\varphi(0+) = 0$. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2 \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{\varphi(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y))} \leq K, \quad \text{a.s. for some constant } K < \infty$$

implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2 \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{\varphi(d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y))} = K', \quad \text{a.s. for some constant } K' < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Because of Lemma 9, we see that (7) in Theorem 2 will be proved after we establish upper and lower bounds of the following form: If $2 < \alpha < 4$, then there exist positive and finite constants K_5 and K_6 such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2 \\ d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)^{(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{|\log d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)|}} \leq K_5, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)^{(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{|\log d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)|}} \geq K_6, \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (29)$$

We divide the rest of the proof of Theorem 2 into three parts.

Step 1: Proof of (28). We introduce an auxiliary Gaussian field:

$$Y = \{Y(x, y), x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

defined by $Y(x, y) = T(x) - T(y)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small so that (11) in Lemma 4 holds. The canonical metric d_Y on $\Gamma := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2 : d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\}$ associated with Y satisfies the following inequality:

$$d_Y((x, y), (x', y')) \leq \min\{d_T(x, x') + d_T(y, y'), d_T(x, y) + d_T(x', y')\}. \quad (30)$$

Denote the diameter of Γ in the metric d_Y by D . Then, by (30), we have

$$D \leq \sup_{(x, y) \in \Gamma} (d_T(x, y) + d_T(x', y')) \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

For any $\eta > 0$, let $N_Y(\Gamma, \eta)$ be the smallest number of open d_Y -balls of radius η needed to cover Γ . It follows from (30) that for $2 < \alpha < 4$,

$$N_Y(\Gamma, \eta) \leq K_7 \eta^{-\frac{4}{\alpha-2}},$$

for some positive and finite constant K_7 , and one can verify that

$$\int_0^D \sqrt{\log N_Y(T, \eta)} d\eta \leq K \varepsilon \sqrt{\log(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.3.5 in [1], we have

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{\varepsilon^{(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} \leq K, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for some finite constant K . One can verify (cf. Lemma 7.1.6 in [16]) that this implies (28).

Step 2: Proof of (29). For any $n \geq \lfloor \log_2 \varepsilon_0 \rfloor + 1$, where ε_0 is as in Theorem 6, we chose a sequence of 2^n points $\{x_{n,i}, 1 \leq i \leq 2^n\}$ on \mathbb{S}^2 that are equally separated in the following sense: For every $2 \leq k \leq 2^n$, we have

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x_{n,k}, x_{n,i}) = d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x_{n,k}, x_{n,k-1}) = 2^{-n}. \quad (31)$$

There are many ways to choose such a sequence on \mathbb{S}^2 . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \mathbb{S}^2, \\ d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)^{(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{|\log d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x, y)|}} \\ & \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{2 \leq k \leq 2^n} \frac{|T(x_{n,k}) - T(x_{n,k-1})|}{2^{-n(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{n}} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

It is sufficient to prove that, almost surely, the last limit in (32) is bounded below by a positive constant. This is done by applying the property of strong local nondeterminism in Theorem 6 and a standard Borel-Cantelli argument.

Let $\eta > 0$ be a constant whose value will be chosen later. We consider the events

$$A_n = \left\{ \max_{2 \leq k \leq 2^n} |T(x_{n,k}) - T(x_{n,k-1})| \leq \eta 2^{-n(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{n} \right\}$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_n) &= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \max_{2 \leq k \leq 2^n-1} |T(x_{n,k}) - T(x_{n,k-1})| \leq \eta 2^{-n(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{n} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{P} \left\{ |T(x_{n,2^n}) - T(x_{n,2^n-1})| \leq \eta 2^{-n(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{n} \mid \tilde{A}_{2^n-1} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $\tilde{A}_{2^n-1} = \left\{ \max_{2 \leq k \leq 2^n-1} |T(x_{n,k}) - T(x_{n,k-1})| \leq \eta 2^{-n(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{n} \right\}$. The conditional distribution of the Gaussian random variable $T(x_{n,2^n}) - T(x_{n,2^n-1})$ under \tilde{A}_{2^n-1} is still Gaussian and, by Theorem 6, its conditional variance satisfies

$$\text{Var}(T(x_{n,2^n}) - T(x_{n,2^n-1}) \mid A_{n-1}) \geq c_2 2^{-(\alpha-2)n}.$$

This and Anderson's inequality (see [2]) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left\{ |T(x_{n,2^n}) - T(x_{n,2^n-1})| \leq \eta 2^{-n(\alpha-2)/2} \sqrt{n} \mid \tilde{A}_{2^n-1} \right\} &\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ N(0,1) \leq c \eta \sqrt{n} \right\} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{1}{c \eta \sqrt{n}} \exp \left(- \frac{c^2 \eta^2 n}{2} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(- \frac{1}{c \eta \sqrt{n}} \exp \left(- \frac{c^2 \eta^2 n}{2} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

In deriving the above, we have applied Mill's ratio and the elementary inequality $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$ for $x > 0$. Iterating this procedure in (33) for 2^n times, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n) \leq \exp \left(- \frac{1}{c \eta \sqrt{n}} 2^n \exp \left(- \frac{c^2 \eta^2 n}{2} \right) \right). \quad (35)$$

By taking $\eta > 0$ small enough such that $c^2 \eta^2 < 2$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$. Hence the Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that the right-hand side of (32) is bounded from below by $\eta > 0$.

Step 3: Proof of (8) for $\alpha = 4$. This is similar to the proof in Step 1, except that the diameter D of Γ in the metric d_Y is now comparable to $K \varepsilon \sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}$ and the covering number $N_Y(\Gamma, \eta) \leq K \eta^{-2} |\log \eta|$. Hence, in this case,

$$\int_0^D \sqrt{\log N_Y(T, \eta)} d\eta \leq K \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|.$$

Applying again Theorem 1.3.5 in [1] yields that for $\alpha = 4$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in S^2 \\ d_{S^2}(x, y) \leq \varepsilon}} \frac{|T(x) - T(y)|}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|} \leq K, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Hence (8) follows from this and Lemma 7.1.6 in [16]. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

5 Higher-Order Derivatives: Proof of Theorem 3

Now we consider the case of $\alpha > 4$. Let $k \geq 1$ be the integer such that $2 + 2k < \alpha \leq 4 + 2k$, and let $T^{(k)} = \{T^{(k)}(x), x \in \mathbb{S}^2\}$ be the Gaussian random field defined by $T^{(k)} = (1 - \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2})^{k/2}T$. It follows from (9) that $T^{(k)}$ is again isotropic and its angular power spectrum is given by

$$\tilde{C}_\ell = \mathbb{E}(|a_{\ell m}|^2)(1 + \ell(\ell + 1))^k = C_\ell(1 + \ell(\ell + 1))^k, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots$$

Under Condition (A), we have $\tilde{C}_\ell = \tilde{G}(\ell) \ell^{2k-\alpha}$ for all $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$, where

$$c_6^{-1} \leq \tilde{G}(\ell) \leq c_6$$

for some finite constant $c_6 \geq 1$. It follows from Theorem 1 that, for all $n \geq 1$ and all $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{S}^2$ such that $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x_0, x_i) \leq \varepsilon_0$, we have

$$\text{Var} \left(T^{(k)}(x_0) | T^{(k)}(x_1), \dots, T^{(k)}(x_n) \right) \geq c_2 \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{\mathbb{S}^2}(x_0, x_i)^{(\alpha-2-2k)}.$$

Hence the conclusions of Theorem 3 follow from Theorem 2.

6 Appendix

In this Appendix we collect a number of technical results which are mainly instrumental to investigate the behaviour of the canonical Gaussian metric at small angular distances, in terms of the spectral index α .

Let us first recall the Mehler-Dirichlet representation for the Legendre polynomials (see [17, eq. (13.9)] or [28, Section 5.3, eq. (2)]),

$$P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_0^\vartheta \frac{\cos\left(\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)\psi\right)}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} d\psi, \quad (36)$$

where the integral on the right hand side for $\vartheta = 0$ is understood as the limit as $\vartheta \downarrow 0$.

In order to study the asymptotic behaviour of $\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \ell^{-s} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta)$ as $\vartheta \rightarrow 0$, we will make use of the following identity: For any $s > 1$,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty \ell^{-s} \cos\left(\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)\psi\right) = \text{Re} \left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \ell^{-s} e^{i\left(\ell + \frac{1}{2}\right)\psi} \right] = \text{Re} \left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\psi} Li_s(e^{i\psi}) \right], \quad (37)$$

where $Li_s(z)$ denotes the polylogarithm function, which is defined as

$$Li_s(z) := \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{z^k}{k^s}$$

for $|z| < 1$, and then extended holomorphically to $|z| \geq 1$.

As usual, denote by $O(f(\cdot))$ the terms that are no lower than the order of $f(\cdot)$ and $o(f(\cdot))$ having higher order than $f(\cdot)$. We have the following result:

Lemma 10 *For any constant $s > 1$, as $\vartheta \rightarrow 0+$, we have*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{+\infty} \ell^{-s} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) = \begin{cases} \zeta(s) - K_7 (\sin \vartheta)^{s-1} + o((\sin \vartheta)^{s-1}), & \text{if } 1 < s < 3, \\ \zeta(s) - K_8 \sin^2 \vartheta |\ln \sin \vartheta| + O(\sin^2 \vartheta), & \text{if } s = 3, \\ \zeta(s) - K_9 \sin^2 \vartheta + O(\sin^3 \vartheta), & \text{if } s > 3, \end{cases}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function, K_7, K_8, K_9 are positive constants depending only on s .

Proof. We consider the two cases $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \notin \mathbb{N}$, respectively.

Case 1. For $s \notin \mathbb{N}$, we will exploit the series expansion of $Li_s(e^x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$ around the origin (see, [29, eq. (9.4)] or [10, Chapter 9]),

$$Li_s(e^x) = \Gamma(1-s)(-x)^{s-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(s-k)}{k!} x^k. \quad (38)$$

Recall that the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ is well-defined and holomorphic on the whole complex plane everywhere except for $s = 1$. The power series in (38) converges in $\{x \in \mathbb{C} : |x| < 1\}$.

It follows that for $\vartheta > 0$ small enough, and all $\psi \in (0, \vartheta)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} [e^{\frac{i}{2}\psi} Li_s(e^{i\psi})] &= \cos\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) \left[A_1 \psi^{s-1} + \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(s-2) \psi^2 \right] \\ &\quad + \sin\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) \left[B_1 \psi^{s-1} - \zeta(s-1) \psi + O(\psi^3) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where

$$A_1 = \Gamma(1-s) \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(s-1)\right) \quad \text{and} \quad B_1 = \Gamma(1-s) \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(s-1)\right)$$

and we have incorporated $O(\psi^4)$ into $O(\sin(\frac{\psi}{2})\psi^3)$. Then, by (36), (37) and (39) above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-s} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_0^{\vartheta} \frac{\cos \frac{\psi}{2}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} \left[A_1 \psi^{s-1} + \zeta(s) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta(s-2) \psi^2 \right] d\psi \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_0^{\vartheta} \frac{\sin \frac{\psi}{2}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} \left[B_1 \psi^{s-1} - \zeta(s-1) \psi + O(\psi^3) \right] d\psi \\ &:= J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Recall that

$$\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta = 2 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} - 2 \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2}.$$

A change of variable $x = \sin(\frac{\psi}{2}) / \sin(\frac{\vartheta}{2})$ shows that for $\gamma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\vartheta \frac{\sin^{\gamma-1} \frac{\psi}{2} \cos \frac{\psi}{2}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} d\psi &= \sqrt{2} \left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right)^{\gamma-1} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\gamma-1}}{\sqrt{1-x^2}} dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} B\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right)^{\gamma-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\vartheta \frac{\sin^{\gamma-1} \frac{\psi}{2}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} d\psi &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right)^{\gamma-1} \\ &\times \left[B\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{6} B\left(\frac{\gamma}{2} + 1, \frac{1}{2}\right) \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} + O\left(\sin^4 \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

By applying the following asymptotic expansion

$$\frac{\psi^\beta}{\sin^\beta \psi} = 1 + \beta \frac{(\sin \psi)^2}{6} + O(\sin^4 \psi), \quad \text{if } \beta > 0,$$

we can use (41) and (42) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= A_2 \left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right)^{s-1} + \frac{1}{\pi} \zeta(s) B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\pi} \zeta(s-2) B\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} + O\left(\left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)^{s+1}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

where A_2 is an explicit positive constant depending on s only. Likewise, we have

$$J_2 = B_2 \sin^s \frac{\vartheta}{2} - \frac{2}{\pi} \zeta(s-1) B\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} + O\left(\sin^{s+2} \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right), \quad (44)$$

where B_2 is an explicit positive constant depending on s only. By combining (43) and (44), we derive that for $s > 1$ and $s \notin \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-s} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) &= \zeta(s) - C_1 \left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right)^{s-1} - C_2 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} \\ &\quad + O\left(\left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right)^{(s+1) \wedge 4}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants depending only on s , and $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$. Consequently,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-s} P_\ell(\cos \vartheta) = \zeta(s) - C_1 \left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right)^{s-1} + O\left(\sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right) \quad (45)$$

for $1 < s < 3$, $s \neq 2$, and

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-s} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) = \zeta(s) - C_2 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} + O\left(\sin^4 \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right), \quad (46)$$

for $s > 3$, $s \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Case 2. If $s > 1$ and $s = n \in \mathbb{N}$, we make use of the following series expansion of $Li_n(e^x)$ (see [29, eq. (9.5)] or [10, Chapter 9]) for $x \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| < 1$,

$$Li_n(e^x) = \frac{x^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} [H_{n-1} - \ln(-x)] + \sum_{k=0, k \neq n-1}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(n-k)}{k!} x^k, \quad (47)$$

where H_n denotes the n -th harmonic number:

$$H_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j}, \quad H_0 = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\psi} Li_n(e^{i\psi}) \right] &= \operatorname{Re} \left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\psi} \frac{i^{n-1} \psi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left(H_{n-1} - \ln \psi + \frac{\pi}{2} i \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left[\sum_{k=0, k \neq n-1}^{n+1} \frac{\zeta(n-k)}{k!} i^k \psi^k \right] + O(\psi^{n+2}). \end{aligned}$$

If n is an odd integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\psi} Li_n(e^{i\psi}) \right] &= (-1)^{(n-1)/2} \frac{\psi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left[(H_{n-1} - \ln \psi) \cos \frac{\psi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0, k \neq (n-1)/2}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{\zeta(n-2k)}{k!} (-1)^k \psi^{2k} + O(\psi^{n+3}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-n} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{(-1)^{(n-1)/2}}{(n-1)!} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{\vartheta} \frac{\psi^{n-1}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} \left[(H_{n-1} - \ln \psi) \cos \frac{\psi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \frac{\psi}{2} \right] d\psi \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \sum_{k=0, k \neq (n-1)/2}^{(n+1)/2} \frac{\zeta(n-2k)}{k!} (-1)^k \int_0^{\vartheta} \frac{\psi^{2k}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} d\psi \\ &\quad + O\left(\int_0^{\vartheta} \frac{\psi^{n+3}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} d\psi \right). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Observe that, in (48), the term corresponding to $k = 0$ goes to $\zeta(n)$ as $\vartheta \rightarrow 0+$, and the leading integral is

$$J_3 = \int_0^\vartheta \frac{\psi^{n-1} \ln \psi}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} \cos\left(\frac{\psi}{2}\right) d\psi.$$

By a change of variable $y = \sin^2 \frac{\psi}{2} / \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2}$, we can write J_3 as

$$J_3 = \frac{2^{n-1}}{\sqrt{2}} \sin^{n-1} \frac{\vartheta}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{y^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (1 + \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} \frac{n-1}{6} y + O(\sin^4 \vartheta y^2))}{(1-y)^{1/2}} \times \left(\ln y + 2(\ln \sin \vartheta + \ln 2) + \frac{\sin^2 \vartheta}{6} y + O(\sin^4 \vartheta y^2) \right) dy.$$

For $n \geq 3$, we derive

$$J_3 = \frac{2^{n-1}}{\sqrt{2}} (1 + 2 \ln 2) B_{\ln} \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \sin^{n-1} \frac{\vartheta}{2} + \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{2}} B \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) \sin^{n-1} \frac{\vartheta}{2} \cdot \left(\ln \sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right) + O(\sin^4 \vartheta), \quad (49)$$

where

$$B_{\ln}(a, b) = \int_0^1 \frac{x^{a-1} \ln x}{(1-x)^{1-b}} dx = - \int_0^1 B(y; a, b) \frac{1}{y} dy,$$

and $B(y; a, b)$ is the so-called incomplete Beta function, defined as

$$B(y; a, b) = \int_0^y \frac{x^{a-1}}{(1-x)^{1-b}} dx.$$

By combining (48) and (49) we see that, if $s = n > 1$ is an odd integer, then

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-n} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) = \zeta(n) - D_1 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} + \delta_n^3 D_2 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} \cdot \left(\ln \sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right) + O\left(\sin^3 \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right), \quad (50)$$

where $\delta_i^j = 1$ if $i = j$ and 0 otherwise, D_1 and D_2 are positive constants depending on s only. Consequently, if $s > 1$ is an odd integer, then

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-n} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) = \begin{cases} \zeta(n) + D_2 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} \left(\ln \sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right) + O\left(\sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right), & \text{if } s = 3, \\ \zeta(n) - D_1 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} + O\left(\sin^3 \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right), & \text{if } s \geq 5. \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

Finally, we consider the case when $s = n > 1$ is an even integer. It follows from (47) that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\psi} Li_s(e^{i\psi}) \right] &= (-1)^{n/2} \frac{\psi^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \left[(H_{n-1} - \ln \psi) \sin \frac{\psi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \cos \frac{\psi}{2} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n/2+1} \frac{\zeta(n-2k)}{k!} (-1)^k \psi^{2k} + O(\psi^{n+4}), \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-n} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \frac{(-1)^{n/2}}{(n-1)!} \\
&\times \int_0^{\vartheta} \frac{\psi^{n-1}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} \left[(H_{n-1} - \ln \psi) \sin \frac{\psi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{2} \cos \psi \right] d\psi \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{n/2+1} \frac{\zeta(n-2k)}{k!} (-1)^k \int_0^{\vartheta} \frac{\psi^{2k}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} d\psi \\
&+ O\left(\int_0^{\vartheta} \frac{\psi^{n+4}}{(\cos \psi - \cos \vartheta)^{1/2}} d\psi \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Similarly to the case when s is odd, we can derive that for $s = n$ even,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-n} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) &= \zeta(n) - \delta_n^2 \left\{ B\left(1, \frac{1}{2}\right) \sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} - D_3 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} \cdot \left(\ln \sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right) \right\} \\
&- D_4 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} + O\left(\sin^3 \frac{\vartheta}{2} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where D_3 and D_4 are positive constants depending on s only. That is, for even integer $s > 1$, we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \ell^{-s} P_{\ell}(\cos \vartheta) = \begin{cases} \zeta(s) - 2 \sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} + o\left(\sin \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right), & \text{if } s = 2, \\ \zeta(s) + D_2 \sin^2 \frac{\vartheta}{2} + O\left(\sin^3 \frac{\vartheta}{2}\right), & \text{if } s \geq 4. \end{cases} \tag{55}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 10 in view of (45), (46), (51) and (55). ■

Acknowledgement Research of X. Lan is supported by NSFC grant 11501538; D. Marinucci is supported by ERC Grant n. 277742 *Pascal*; Y. Xiao is partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1307470 and DMS-1309856.

References

- [1] Adler, R. J. and Taylor, J. E. (2007), *Random Fields and Geometry*, Springer.
- [2] Anderson, T. W. (1955), The integral of a symmetric convex set and some probability inequalities, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 6, 170–176.
- [3] Baldi, P., Kerkycharian, G., Marinucci, D. and Picard, D. (2009), Asymptotics for spherical needlets, *Ann. Statist.* 37, 1150–1171.
- [4] Dalang, R., Mueller, C. and Xiao, Y. (2015), Polarity of points for Gaussian random fields. *Submitted*. arXiv: 1505.05417.

- [5] Dodelson, S. (2003), *Modern Cosmology*, Academic Press, New York.
- [6] Durrer, R. (2008), *The Cosmic Microwave Background*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [7] Falconer, K. J. and Xiao, Y. (2014), Generalized dimensions of images of measures under Gaussian processes. *Adv. Math.* 252, 492–517.
- [8] Geller, D. and Mayeli, A. (2009), Continuous wavelets on compact manifolds, *Math. Z.* 262, 895–927.
- [9] Geller, D. and Mayeli, A. (2009), Nearly tight frames and space-frequency analysis on compact manifolds, *Math. Z.* 263, 235–264.
- [10] Gradshteyn, I. S. and Ryzhik, I. M. (1980), *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*, (4th ed.), Academic Press, New York.
- [11] Herrmann, L., Lang, A. and Schwab, C. (2016), Numerical analysis of lognormal diffusions on \mathbb{S}^2 , arXiv:1601.02500.
- [12] Lan, X. and Marinucci, D. (2009), On the dependence structure of wavelet coefficients for spherical random fields, *Stoch. Process. Appl.* 119, 3749–3766.
- [13] Lang, A. and Schwab, C. (2015), Isotropic Gaussian random fields on the sphere: regularity, fast simulation and stochastic partial differential equations, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 25, 3047–3094.
- [14] Li, Yuqiang, Wang, W. and Xiao, Y. (2015), Exact moduli of continuity for operator-scaling Gaussian random fields. *Bernoulli* 21, 930–956.
- [15] Luan, Nana and Xiao, Y. (2012), Spectral conditions for strong local non-determinism and exact Hausdorff measure of ranges of Gaussian random fields, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 18, 118–145.
- [16] Marcus, M. B. and Rosen J. (2006), *Markov Processes, Gaussian Processes, and Local Times*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [17] Marinucci, D. and Peccati, G. (2011), *Random Fields on the Sphere. Representation, Limit Theorem and Cosmological Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [18] Marinucci, D. and Peccati, G. (2013), Mean square continuity on homogeneous spaces of compact groups, *Electron. Comm. Probab.* 18, n.37, 10 pp.
- [19] Marinucci, D. and Vadlamani, S. (2016), High-frequency asymptotics for Lipschitz-Killing curvatures of excursion sets on the sphere, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 26, 462–506.

- [20] Mayeli, A. (2010), Asymptotic uncorrelation for Mexican needlets, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 363, 336–344.
- [21] Meerschaert, M. M., Wang, W. and Xiao, Y. (2013), Fernique-type inequalities and moduli of continuity for anisotropic Gaussian random fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 365, 1081–1107.
- [22] Pitt, L. D. (1978), Local times for Gaussian vector fields, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 27, 309–330.
- [23] Planck Collaboration (2014), Planck 2013 results. I. Overview of products and scientific results, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 571, idA1, arXiv:1303.5062.
- [24] Schoenberg, I. J. (1942), Positive definite functions on spheres, *Duke Math. J.* 9, 96–108.
- [25] Talagrand, M. (1995), Hausdorff measure of trajectories of multiparameter fractional Brownian motion, *Ann. Probab.* 23, 767–775.
- [26] Talagrand, M. (1998), Multiple points of trajectories of multiparameter fractional Brownian motion, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* 112, 545–563.
- [27] Taylor, M. E. (1981), *Pseudodifferential Operators*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- [28] Varshalovich, D. A., Moskalev, A. N. and Khersonskii, V. K. (1988), *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, World Scientific, Singapore.
- [29] Wood, D. (1992), The computation of polylogarithms, *Technical Report* No. 15–92, Computing Laboratory, University of Kent at Canterbury.
- [30] Xiao, Y. (2007), Strong local nondeterminism and the sample path properties of Gaussian random fields, *Asymptotic Theory in Probability and Statistics with Applications* (Tze Leung Lai, Qiman Shao, Lianfen Qian, editors), pp. 136–176, Higher Education Press, Beijing.
- [31] Xiao, Y. (2009), Sample path properties of anisotropic Gaussian random fields, *A Minicourse on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, (D. Khoshnevisan and F. Rassoul-Agha, editors), Lecture notes in Math. 1962, pp. 145–212, Springer, New York.
- [32] Xiao, Y. (2013), Recent developments on fractal properties of Gaussian random fields, *Further Developments in Fractals and Related Fields*, pp. 255–288, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York.

Xiaohong Lan
School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China
Hefei, 230061, People's Republic of China
email: xhlan@ustc.edu.cn

Domenico Marinucci
Department of Mathematics, University of Rome Tor Vergata
via dell Ricerca Scientifica 1, 00133, Roma, Italy
email: marinucc@mat.uniroma2.it

Yimin Xiao
Department of Statistics and Probability, Michigan State University
East Lansing, Michigan, 488224, USA
email: xiao@stt.msu.edu